

ecology and environment, inc.

223 WEST JACKSON BLVD., CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606, TEL. 312-663-9415

International Specialists in the Environmental Sciences



Date Received for Review: 1/3//85 Date Review Completed: 2/11/85

TO: DAN COZZA

From: Cynthia Bachunas, Cynthia Pugh

Subject: SEXTON MATTESON

(ILINOIS)

RS- 8303-1F

Sample Description: CASE #3567- Low Water Metals

Project Data Status: ComPLETE

FIT Data Review Findings:

Data acceptable with qualifications noted on attached review sheet.

Zinc detected in blank at 20 ugll.

Zinc data may be biased. Iron was detected in blank at 80 ugll, but this value is less than the contract required detection limit.

Additional Comments:

The state of the s

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

128

| | REGION V |
|------------|---|
| DATE: | Powiow of Pogion V CI P Data |
| SUBJECT: | Review of Region V CLP Data Received for Review on |
| FROM: | Curtis Ross, Director (5SCRL) Central Regional Laboratory The Charles Pata User: The Charles The Charles |
| TO: | Data User: Fif |
| | We have reviewed the data for the following case(s). |
| | SITE NAME: Sex fon Malteson SMO Case No. 3567 EPA Data Set No. 5F 865 Samples: Smo Case No. 3567 No. of D.U./Activity Numbers 1048586 |
| | CRL No. 85 mc 04 363 - 85 mc 04 R88 |
| | |
| | SMO Traffic No. ME A218 - ME A219 Hrs. Required |
| | CLP Laboratory: Wison Hrs. Required for Review: 7 |
| | Following are our findings. |
| Spik (40%) | e for St is > CRL (140%) while In spike is < CRL |
| as | & Il spikes obtained by method of standard itions |
| | 1-25-85 DM |
| | |
| | |
| | |

() Data are acceptable for use.
 (×) Data are acceptable for use with qualifications noted above.
 () Data are preliminary - pending verification by Contractor Laboratory.
 () Data are unacceptable.

cc: Dr. Alfred Haeberer/Joan Fisk/Gary Ward, EPA Support Services Ross K. Robeson, EMSL-Las Vegas Don Trees, CLP/Sample Management Office

REGION V, U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ESD/Central Regional Laboratory

DATA TRACKING - FORM I

RECEIVED JAN 3 1 1988

| CRL | Data Set No. 5F 865 ERRIS No |
|----------------------|---|
| SMC | Case No. 3567 Site Name: Sexton Molleson |
| Nan | ne of Laboratory: Wilson Data User: Fit |
| No. | of Samples: 2 Date Samples Received: 1/14/85 |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. | Have chain-of-custody records been received? YES NO Have Traffic reports been received? YES NO If no, are Traffic report numbers written on the chain-of-custody record? YES NO If no, which Traffic report numbers are missing? |
| | |
| 5. | Are basic data forms in? YES NO |
| 6. | Number of samples claimed:Number of samples received: |
| 7. | Checked by: Akdia Felician Date: 1/14/85 |
| 8. | Received by Contract Project Management Section: Date: |
| 9. | Review Started: 1-21-85 Reviewer Signature: Darothy May |
| 0. | Total time spent on review: 7 hrs., Date review completed: 1-25485 |
| 1. | Copied (xeroxed) by: Willie Yill Date: 1-78-85 |
| 2. | Transmitted by: Date: |
| 3. | Mailed to Data User by: Wille Tree Date: 1-28-85 |
| 4. | REMARKS: |

| | | | | | FO | RM I | 85 MC 0456 | 3 |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--|------------------|
| SAMF F.O. | LE MANAG | EMENT OF | FICE NDRIA, V | PROGRAM | .IVED | JAN 3 1 198 | I EFA SAMPLE NO. | I I I I |
| | | | | | | | DATE85/01/09_ | |
| | | | INORG | ANIC ANAL | YSIS I | ATA SHEET | · | |
| | NAME | | | | | | CASE NO3567. | ~ |
| | NO | | | | | | QC REPORT NO | 3567 |
| | | | ELEME | NTS IDENT | IFIED | AND MEASU | RED | |
| | | | | IĻ X | _ 51 | MED: UDGE | | - |
| | | . (| UG/L) OR | MG/KG DRY | WEIGH | HT (CI | RCLE ONE) | |
| 1. | ALUMINUM | 2 | 200_U | ₽ | 13. | MAGNESIUM | 48000 | F |
| 2. | ANTIMONY | | .60_U_R | F | 14. | MANGANESE | 100 | F |
| ₃. | ARSENIC | | .20_ป | F | 15. | MERCURY | 2_U | |
| 4. | BARIUM | 2 | 200_U | P | 16. | NICKEL | 20_U | F' |
| 5. | BERYLLIU | IM | 5_U | F | 17. | POTASSIUM | 2000_U | F |
| | CADMIUM | | 2_U | Р | 18. | SELENIUM | 6_U | F |
| 7. | CALCIUM | 1130 | 000 | F | 19. | SILVER | 10_U | F |
| 8. | CHROMIUM | · | .10_U | Р | 20. | MUIGOS | 18000 | P |
| ኇ. | COBALT | | .30_U | Р | 21. | THALLIUM | 14_U | F |
| 10. | COFFER | · | .10_U | F | 22. | TIN | 30_U_R | F |
| 11. | IRON | 2 | 30 | F | 23. | VANADIUM | 10_U | P |
| 12. | LEAD | | 4_U | F | 24. | ZINC | 20 | F |
| CYA | NIDE | | <u>.</u> | | PERC | ENT SOLIDS | (2) | |
| F001 | | USED AS EXPLAINI | DEFINED NG RESUL | ON COVER TO ARE EN | PAGE. COURA | ADDITION | ESULT QUALIFIERS A AL FLAGS OR FOOTNO NITION OF SUCH FLA HOWEVER. | TES |
| COM | MENTS: | | · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

LAB MANAGER BUNNALLY JUMPAN

| • | | | | | F(| ORM I | 85 MC. 04/ROR |
|--------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|---|
| SAME | LE MANAG | EMENT (| OFFICE | ORY PROGRAM VA 22313 490 RECEIVED |) JAN | | I EPA SAMPLE NO. I I I I MEA219 I I |
| | | | IN | ORGANIC ANAL | YSIS) | DATA SHEET | |
| | | | | TORIES | | | CASE NO3567 |
| | | | | 1-1128 | | | QC REPORT NO3547 |
| | | | EL | EMENTS IDENT | IFIED | AND MEASUR | RED |
| | CENTRATIO | n: Er | K | SOIL | _ S | MED: | IUM |
| | | - | (UG/I) | OR MG/KG DRY | WEIG | HT (CIF | RCLE ONE) |
| 1. | ALUMINUM | | _200_U_ | P | 13. | MAGNESIUM | P |
| 2. | ANTIMONY | | 60_U_ | .RP | 14. | MANGANESE | P |
| 3. | ARSENIC | | 20_U_ | F | 15. | MERCURY | •2_U |
| 4. | BARIUM | | _200_U_ | P | 16. | NICKEL | P |
| 5. | BERYLLIU | M | 5_U_ | Р | 17. | POTASSIUM | P |
| | CADMIUM | | 2_U_ | P | 18. | SELENIUM | 6_UF |
| 7. | CALCIUM | | 1000_8_ | F | 17. | SILVER | F |
| в. | CHROMIUM | | 10_U_ | F | 20. | SODIUM | F |
| ₹. | CORALT | | 30_U_ | P | 21. | THALLIUM | F |
| 1Ċ. | COPPER | | 10_U_ | F | 22. | TIN | 50_U_RF |
| | | | | • | | | F |
| 12. | LEAR | | 4_U_ | F | 24. | ZINC | F |
| CYAN | VIDE | | | | PERC | ENT SOLIDS | (%) |
| - OO 1 | | USED AS EXPLAIN | S DEFIN NING RE | IED ON COVER | PAGE. COURA | ADDITION GED. DEFIN | ESULT QUALIFIERS ARE AL FLAGS OR FOOTNOTES NITION OF SUCH FLAGS MUS HOWEVER. |
| MOC | MENTS: | · | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | |

LAB MANAGER BEAMORISTI Junioro

REVIEW OF CLP INORGANIC DATA

| | RECEIVED JAN 3 1 | 1985 |
|-------------|------------------|------------|
| Contractor: | | Case #3567 |

The data package for this case was reviewed by Region V, Central Regional Laboratory personnel in accordance with the "Standard Operating Procedure for Contract Laboratory (CLP) Data Review; August 1984". The quality of the data was assessed based on laboratory compliance with the contract and the data quality objectives as defined by the user.

This review covers the following samples and parameters:

| CRL# | MATRIX | CONCENTRATION |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| 5MC04 S63 | Lotal Metals | low |
| 5MC 04 ROS | J | low |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | · | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | , | |
| | <u>'</u> | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | 5MC04 S63 5MC04 ROS | |

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND REVIEW

| | RECEIVED JAN 3 1 1985 Case #3567 |
|-----|---|
| OSC | or responsible party: 7it Case Objective Screening |
| | |
| | |
| | Data Quality Needs |
| | |
| | |
| | Precision: Bias: high for St (+40) and as (+25); low for In (-60) and Il (-24) |
| | In (-60) and Il (-24) |
| | Obtain the information above directly from the OSC or designee before starting data review. Categorize review level into one of the following (circle one): |
| | A. Enforcement: Case is already an enforcement action, data is sure to be used in court. |
| | B. Assessment: Case already has preliminary data, level and degree of contamination or clean up action needs to be determined. |
| (| C. Screening: Case purpose is to determine what, if any, pollutants are at the site. followup sampling likely. |

RECEIVED JAN 3 1 1985

REVIEW SUMMARY

| 1 | Precision | Bias |
|------|-----------|------|
| Al | | - 10 |
| Ва | | + 5 |
| Ве | | |
| Cr | | - 10 |
| Со | | |
| Cu | | |
| Fe | 12 | - 9 |
| Mn | 10 | +5 |
| Ni | | - 7 |
| Ag | | |
| V | | +2 |
| Zn | | +5 |
| As | | +25 |
| Sb | | +40 |
| Cd | | +2 |
| Pb | | + 6 |
| Hg | | |
| Se | | |
| · T1 | | -24 |
| Sn | | -60 |
| CN | NR | NR |

Precision expressed as RPD between duplicates of sample $\underline{MFA218}$.

Bias expressed as the percent of spike recovery of sample $\underline{MFA218}$ from 100%.

| Case | # 3567 | |
|------|--------|--|
|------|--------|--|

| REVIEW SUMMARY . | RECE | EIVED JAN 3 1 1985 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Data Quality Needs Met?:(| les . | |
| | | |
| Action Items to Resolvé Data | Quality: | |
| Item Description | Responsible Party | Completion Date |
| | | · |
| | | |
| | - | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

.

•

DATA QUALIFIERS

RECEIVED JAN 3 1 1985

| ontractor: _ | Tilso | w | T | Case | *3567 | |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| | summary of a for this | | control a | udits | and the possi | ble effec |
| Lyike 140% V | of St is | >CRL | (140%) | and | In is | CRL |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | A | | 22 | ~ | | |
| Reviewed l | oy: Dr (3/2) | 8 86 - | 1974 | | | |
| Date: / | -14-85 | | | | | |

| | Case: #3567 |
|----------|---|
| | Contractor: Wilson |
| DATA I | PACKAGE COMPLETENESS AUDIT |
| I. C | over Page RECEIVED JAN 3 1 1985 |
| <u>/</u> | The cover page must include general comments, Statement of Work Number, QC Report #, EPA cross reference numbers, footnotes used in the data package, and the statement on ICP corrections. |
| II. I | norganic Data Report Sheet - Form I |
| A | completed Form I must be submitted for every sample in that case. |
| | Proper matrix and concentration level indicated. |
| NR | % solids reported for solid samples. |
| | Analytical method code for each parameter. "P" for ICP/flame AA or "F" for furnace. |
| | Any dilutions affecting the IDL explained in the comment section. |
| <u>/</u> | For positive values less than the contract required detection limit, the value is put in brackets. []. |
| | For not detected, the detection limit is reported, followed by a "U". |
| | Lab manager's signature. |
| III. Q | A/QC Data |
| | he following forms must be submitted. A check for completeness and nd compliance follows in this audit. |
| | Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification - Form II. |
| _/ | Blank data - Form III |
| / | ICP Interference Check Sample - Form IV |
| | Spike Sample Recovery - Form V |
| | Duplicate Data - Form VI |
| | Instrument Detection Limit and Laboratory Control Sample - Form VII. |
| | Standard Addition Results - Form VIII |

IV. Raw Data

A legible photocopy of raw data consisting of the sequential measurement readout record, such as an instrument tape or printout, clearly labeled with sufficient information to identify both the sample identity and the final result must be submitted for each of the following.

| <u> </u> | calibration standards, with prep date. |
|----------|---|
| | calibration and preparation blanks |
| | initial and continuing calibration |
| 1 | interference check samples, initial and continuing. |
| <u> </u> | duplicates |
| <u>/</u> | spikes - indicating standard solutions used, final spike concentrations and volumes involved. |
| <u> </u> | samples - including all weights, dilutions and volumes used to obtain the reported value. |
| <u> </u> | instrument adjustments or corrections, if any. |
| _ | raw furnace data for: |
| | - initial single spike data |

- single spike % recovery, if used
- full method of standard addition, if performed.
- method of standard addition correlation coefficient, slope and intercept of linear fit.

V. Sample Traffic Report

Copy of SMO Sample Traffic Report with lab receipt information and no original contractor signature.

| Case: | #3567 | | |
|----------|-------|--|--|
| ntractor | 2/1 | | |

SPIKE BIASES

RECEIVED JAN 3 1 1935

Attach Form V to this sheet. List only those recoveries which are outside the control limits of 75%-125%. State spiking concentration only if different from the contract required levels.

| Parameter | % Recovery | Spike Concentration | SMO# |
|-----------|------------|------------------------|---------|
| St | 140% | 0.05 mg./l. | MEA 218 |
| Sn | 140% | 0.05 mg./l. | 1 |
| | | 0.1 | |
| | | , | |
| | | | |
| | | ļ | |
| | ` | | |
| ļ | | ļ | |
| | | ļ | |
| | | | |

NOTE: At least one spike per case or per every 20 samples, whichever is more frequent is required. If recovery is outside the limits, all data associated with that spike must be flagged with an "R". If sample concentration exceeds the spike concentration by a factor of four or more, and the recovery is out of control, the data reported is not flagged.